

A METAHUMANIST MANIFESTO

by Jaime del Val and Stefan Lorenz Sorgner

www.metahumanism.eu

1. *What is Metahumanism?* Metahumanism is a critique of some of humanism's foundational premises such as free will, autonomy and the superiority of *anthropoi* due to their rationality. It deepens the view of the body as field of relational forces in motion and of reality as an immanent embodied process of becoming that does not necessarily end up in defined forms or identities, but may unfold into endless *amorphogenesis*. Monsters are promising strategies for performing this development away from humanism.
2. *The world as relational complex – The Metahuman as Metabody:* Metahumanist critique proposes to deepen the understanding of reality as an unquantifiable field of relational bodies, or *metabodies*, in changing and constitutive relation with one another. Herewith, we attempt to finally overcome the Cartesian split between body and mind, object and subject, by proposing a view of the mind as an embodied relational process, and of the body as relational movement, that operates from the molecular and bacterial, through the individual and psychic, to the social, planetary and cosmic levels, and in other dimensions of experience. There is no possibility to map a totality or limits of the forces that constitute a metabody and there is no ultimate exteriority to them, though they may gravitate around provisional nodal points that account for an immanent perspectivism and the formation of power relations.
3. *Towards a Common Relational Body:* Traditionally relationality has developed into or been subjected to a variety of systems of intensive regulations. In contemporary *capitalism of affects* relationality is increasingly being subjected to control through technologies which produce global standard affects by distributing discreet choreographies. The *Panchoreographic* is the biopolitical meta-system of control in which *metabodies* are being preemptively appropriated. Possibilities to reappropriate and redefine technologies of becoming need to be shown.
4. *Towards a politics of movement and radical pluralism:* A radical pluralist politics is a non paternalist movement that works through power structures to avoid the retotalitarianisation of politics. It does not aim at an ideal final state but stresses the need to permanently overcome contemporary challenges that arise by necessity through combining the immanentism proposed by the metahuman with the perspectivism of the posthuman, stressing the importance of movement versus identity.
5. *The metahuman as postanatomical body:* We propose to challenge the anatomies, forms, cartographies or identities that constitute the humanist concept of the *anthropos*, and the technologies that allow for such representations to take form. Anatomy, as a map of human and social bodies, can only be articulated from an external perspective to the body. We challenge the Cartesian split that situates us as subjects external to an objective reality and to other subjects. Through reappropriating and subverting technologies of perception we may dissolve the condition of exteriority and therewith anatomy and the destiny of the body, not

for the sake of a new anatomy, but of a postanatomical body. *Metahumanism* thus proposes an aesthetics of the amorphous, by considering *metamedia*, *metaformance* and *metaformativity* as possibilities to permanently redefine sensory organs.

6. *Metahumans as metasexual*: Metasexuality is a *productive state of disorientation* of desire that challenges categories of sex-gender identity and sexual orientation. A metabody is not ultimately categorisable in terms of morphological sex or gender but rather is an *amorphogenesis* of infinite potential sexes: *microsexes*. It is *postqueer*: we are beyond the understanding of gender as performative. Metasex not only challenges the dictatorship of anatomical, genital and binary sex, but also the limits of the species and intimacy. Pansexuality, public sex, polyamoria, or voluntary sexwork are means to redefine sexual norms into open fields of relationality, where modalities of affect reconfigure the limits of kinship, family and the community.
7. *Redefining science and knowledge*: Immanentism and perspectivism do not need to be self contradictory concepts - we hold both of them! Yet, we propose the need to introduce immanence into knowledge production, and the revision of encrusted structures. Perspectives are contingent nodes within stratified intensities of the *metabody*. We propose both to explode and dissolve existing strata and to move through its nodes reconfiguring perspectives as well as immanence.
8. *Towards a relational ecology – Metahuman Ethics*: A *metabody* is to be understood as a sustainable relational body that includes *anthropoi*, other species, technology and the environment. Metahuman ethics avows to bring about forms of interaction that avoid the permanent superiority of a force over others, so that a certain non-violent equilibrium is reinstated over and over again.
9. *Towards the transformation, amorphogenesis and emergent becoming of metahumans*: There is no need to distinguish between procedures of genetic enhancement and classical education. Both rely on untimely distinctions or use given representations of a normative regime which are not universal but the result of paternalist political technologies of affective production. We understand alteration processes of the *metahuman* as flowing types of *amorphogenesis* of the relational body, all being equally subject to ongoing critique.
10. *What is the Metahuman?*: The *metahuman* is neither a stable reality, essence or identity, nor a utopia, but an open set of strategies and movements in the present. It implies the need to deterritorialise strata of power and violence and induce new forms of embodied relationality by producing a *frontier body* that is operating on existing boundaries and redefining them. A *micro-recherche* considers the genealogies of bodies, movements and affects for the purpose of both challenging existing regimes and producing new forms of resistance and emergence.